

\$(\sigma, \tau)\$-AMENABILITY OF \$C^*\$-ALGEBRAS

M. MIRZAVAZIRI AND M. S. MOSLEHIAN

ABSTRACT. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra, $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are two linear mappings such that both $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ and $\tau(\mathcal{A})$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ is a $(\tau(\mathcal{A}), \sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ -bimodule. A linear mapping $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is called a (σ, τ) -derivation if $D(ab) = D(a) \cdot \sigma(b) + \tau(a) \cdot D(b)$ ($a, b \in \mathcal{A}$). A (σ, τ) -derivation D is called a (σ, τ) -inner derivation if there exists an $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that D is of the form either $D_x^-(a) = x \cdot \sigma(a) - \tau(a) \cdot x$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$) or $D_x^+(a) = x \cdot \sigma(a) + \tau(a) \cdot x$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$). A Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called (σ, τ) -amenable if every (σ, τ) -derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into a dual Banach $(\tau(\mathcal{A}), \sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ -bimodule is (σ, τ) -inner. Studying some general algebraic aspects of (σ, τ) -derivations, we investigate the relation between amenability and (σ, τ) -amenability of Banach algebras in the case when σ, τ are homomorphisms. We prove that if $\mathfrak{A}$ is a C^* -algebra and σ, τ are $*$ -homomorphisms with $\ker(\sigma) = \ker(\tau)$, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable if and only if $\sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ is amenable.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The notion of an amenable Banach algebra was introduced by B.E. Johnson in his definitive monograph [7]. This class of Banach algebras arises naturally out of the cohomology theory for Banach algebras, the algebraic version of which was developed by G. Hochschild [6]. For a comprehensive account on amenability the reader is referred to books [4, 13].

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra, $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are two linear mappings such that both $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ and $\tau(\mathcal{A})$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ is a $(\tau(\mathcal{A}), \sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ -bimodule. A linear mapping $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is called a (σ, τ) -derivation if

$$D(ab) = D(a) \cdot \sigma(b) + \tau(a) \cdot D(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

We say that a (σ, τ) -derivation D is a (σ, τ) -inner derivation if it is of the form either $D_x^-(a) = x \cdot \sigma(a) - \tau(a) \cdot x$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$) or $D_x^+(a) = x \cdot \sigma(a) + \tau(a) \cdot x$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$) for some $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46H25; Secondary 47B47, 46L05.

Key words and phrases. approximate identity, Banach algebra, Banach module, (σ, τ) -derivation, (σ, τ) -inner derivation, (σ, τ) -amenability, (σ, τ) -contractibility.

For other approaches to generalized derivations and their applications see [1, 2, 3, 11] and references therein. In particular, the automatic continuity problem for (σ, τ) -derivations is considered in [8, 9, 10] and an achievement of continuity of (σ, τ) -derivations without linearity is given in [5].

A wide range of examples are as follows (see [9]):

- (i) Every ordinary derivation is an $id_{\mathcal{A}}$ -derivation, where $id_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the identity map on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$.
- (ii) Every endomorphism α on $\mathcal{A}$ is an $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ -derivation on $\mathcal{A}$.
- (iii) A θ -derivation is nothing than a point derivation $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at the character θ .

A Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be (σ, τ) -*amenable* (resp. (σ, τ) -*contractible*) if every continuous (σ, τ) -derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into a dual Banach $(\tau(\mathcal{A}), \sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ -bimodule $\mathcal{X}^*$ (a Banach $(\tau(\mathcal{A}), \sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ -bimodule $\mathcal{X}$, resp.) is (σ, τ) -inner. Recall that the bimodule structure of the dual space $\mathcal{Y}^*$ of a normed bimodule $\mathcal{Y}$ over a Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is defined via

$$(b \cdot f)(y) = f(yb), \quad (f \cdot b)(y) = f(by) \quad (b \in \mathcal{B}, y \in \mathcal{Y}, f \in \mathcal{Y}^*)$$

If $\sigma = \tau$ we simply use the terminologies σ -derivation, σ -amenability, etc.

We establish some general algebraic properties of (σ, τ) -derivations and investigate the relation between amenability and (σ, τ) -amenability of Banach algebras. In particular, we prove that if $\mathfrak{A}$ is a C^* -algebra and $\sigma : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is σ -amenable if and only if $\sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ is amenable.

For definitions and elementary properties of Banach algebras we refer the reader to [4, 12].

2. ALGEBRAIC ASPECTS OF (σ, τ) -DERIVATIONS

Throughout this section let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital algebra with unit e , let $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be linear mappings such that both $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ and $\tau(\mathcal{A})$ be subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ is a $(\tau(\mathcal{A}), \sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ -bimodule. In the case where $e \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cup \tau(\mathcal{A})$, we assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is unit linked, i.e. $ex = xe = e$ ($x \in \mathcal{X}$). In this section we first give a sufficient condition under which each (σ, τ) -derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{X}$ is of the form D_x^+ for some $x \in \mathcal{X}$. We second show how the assumption that σ and τ are homomorphisms ensures $D_x^+ = 0$ for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$, and provide a suitable setting for study of (σ, τ) -derivations as well. Let us start our work with the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let x be a fixed element of $\mathcal{X}$. A linear mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a right (left, resp.) x -homomorphism if $x \cdot (\varphi(ab) - \varphi(a)\varphi(b)) = 0$ ($(\varphi(ab) - \varphi(a)\varphi(b)) \cdot x = 0$, resp.) for each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose $\sigma(e) = \lambda e$ and $\tau(e) = (1 - \lambda)e$ for some nonzero number $\lambda \neq 1$. Then for each (σ, τ) -derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ there is an $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$D(a) = x \cdot \frac{\sigma(a)}{\lambda} = \frac{\tau(a)}{1 - \lambda} \cdot x \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

In this case, $\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}$ is a right x -homomorphism and $\frac{\tau}{1 - \lambda}$ is a left x -homomorphism.

Proof. It follows from

$$D(a) = D(a) \cdot \sigma(e) + \tau(a) \cdot D(e) = \lambda D(a) + \tau(a) \cdot D(e)$$

that

$$(1 - \lambda)D(a) = \tau(a) \cdot D(e).$$

Now if $D(e) = 0$ then $D(a) = 0$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence we may suppose that $x := D(e) \neq 0$. Thus $D(a) = \frac{\tau(a) \cdot x}{1 - \lambda}$. A similar argument shows that $D(a) = \frac{x \cdot \sigma(a)}{\lambda}$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tau(ab)}{1 - \lambda} \cdot x &= D(ab) \\ &= D(a) \cdot \sigma(b) + \tau(a) \cdot D(b) \\ &= \frac{\tau(a) \cdot x}{1 - \lambda} \cdot \sigma(b) + \tau(a) \cdot \frac{\tau(b) \cdot x}{1 - \lambda} \\ &= \frac{\lambda \tau(a)}{1 - \lambda} \cdot \frac{x \cdot \sigma(b)}{\lambda} + \frac{\tau(a) \tau(b) \cdot x}{1 - \lambda} \\ &= \frac{\lambda \tau(a)}{1 - \lambda} \cdot \frac{\tau(b) \cdot x}{1 - \lambda} + \frac{\tau(a) \tau(b) \cdot x}{1 - \lambda} \\ &= \frac{\tau(a) \tau(b)}{(1 - \lambda)^2} \cdot x \\ &= \frac{\tau(a)}{1 - \lambda} \frac{\tau(b)}{1 - \lambda} \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

We can therefore deduce that $\frac{\tau}{1 - \lambda}$ is a left x -homomorphism. By a similar argument we see that $\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}$ is a right x -homomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 2.3. Suppose that $\sigma(e) = \lambda e$ and $\tau(e) = (1 - \lambda)e$ for some nonzero number $\lambda \neq 1$. Then for each $(\tau(\mathcal{A}), \sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ -bimodule $\mathcal{X}$ and each (σ, τ) -derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$,

there are an $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and two linear mappings $\Sigma, T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that 2Σ is a right x -homomorphism, $2T$ is a left x -homomorphism, D is a (Σ, T) -derivation and

$$D(a) = 2x \cdot \Sigma(a) = 2T(a) \cdot x = x \cdot \Sigma(a) + T(a) \cdot x \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. Put $\Sigma = \frac{\sigma}{2\lambda}$ and $T = \frac{\tau}{2(1-\lambda)}$. □

Thus we have

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital algebra with unit e . Suppose that $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are linear mappings such that both $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ and $\tau(\mathcal{A})$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ and that $\sigma(e) = \lambda e$ and $\tau(e) = (1 - \lambda)e$ for some nonzero number $\lambda \neq 1$. Then every (σ, τ) -derivation is (σ, τ) -inner.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let x be a fixed element of $\mathcal{X}$. Then $D_x^-(a) = x \cdot \sigma(a) - \tau(a) \cdot x$ is a (σ, τ) -derivation if and only if*

$$x \cdot (\sigma(ab) - \sigma(a)\sigma(b)) = (\tau(ab) - \tau(a)\tau(b)) \cdot x$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. D_x^- is a (σ, τ) -derivation if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} x\sigma(ab) - \tau(ab)x &= D_x^-(ab) \\ &= D_x^-(a)\sigma(b) + \tau(a)D_x^-(b) \\ &= (x\sigma(a) - \tau(a)x)\sigma(b) + \tau(a)(x\sigma(b) - \tau(b)x), \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$x \cdot (\sigma(ab) - \sigma(a)\sigma(b)) = (\tau(ab) - \tau(a)\tau(b)) \cdot x$$

□

Let $\mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{A}) := \{x\sigma(a) : x \in \mathcal{X}, a \in \mathcal{A}\} = \{0\}$ (resp. $\tau(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{X} = \{0\}$) and $a\mathcal{X} = \{0\}$ (resp. $\mathcal{X}a = \{0\}$) implies that $a = 0$. If all mappings D_x^- are (σ, τ) -derivation, then Proposition 2.5 implies that σ (resp. τ) is necessarily a homomorphism. This pathological observation and the following proposition let us reasonably assume that σ and τ are unital homomorphisms when we deal with (σ, τ) -amenability (or (σ, τ) -contractibility).

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be two unital homomorphisms. Then $D_x^+ : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ defined by $D_x^+(a) = x \cdot \sigma(a) + \tau(a) \cdot x$ is a (σ, τ) -derivation if and only if $D_x^+ = 0$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x \cdot \sigma(a)\sigma(b) + \tau(a)\tau(b) \cdot x \\
 = & x \cdot \sigma(ab) + \tau(ab) \cdot x \\
 = & D_x^+(ab) \\
 = & D_x^+(a) \cdot \sigma(b) + \tau(a) \cdot D_x^+(b) \\
 = & (x \cdot \sigma(a) + \tau(a) \cdot x) \cdot \sigma(b) + \tau(a) \cdot (x \cdot \sigma(b) + \tau(b) \cdot x) \\
 = & x \cdot \sigma(a)\sigma(b) + \tau(a) \cdot x \cdot \sigma(b) + \tau(a) \cdot x \cdot \sigma(b) + \tau(a)\tau(b) \cdot x.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tau(a) \cdot x \cdot \sigma(b) = 0$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. putting $a = e$ we have $x \cdot \sigma(b) = 0$ and putting $b = e$ we have $\tau(a) \cdot x = 0$. Thus $D_x^+(a) = x \cdot \sigma(a) + \tau(a) \cdot x = 0$. $\square$

3. σ -AMENABILITY OF C^* -ALGEBRAS

Throughout this section, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra, let $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be homomorphisms and let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach $(\tau(\mathcal{A}), \sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ -bimodule. We also assume that all mappings under consideration are linear and continuous. In this section we study some interrelations between (σ, τ) -amenability and ordinary amenability. Let us start our works with the following easy observation.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is amenable (contractible), then $\mathcal{A}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable ((σ, τ) -contractible) for every two homomorphisms σ and τ .*

Proof. We only prove the statement concerning amenability. The other case can be similarly proved.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach $(\tau(\mathcal{A}), \sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ -bimodule and $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ be a (σ, τ) -derivation. One can regard $\mathcal{X}$ as a Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule via

$$a \cdot x = \tau(a)x, \quad x \cdot a = x\sigma(a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{X}).$$

Then $D(ab) = D(a)\sigma(b) + \tau(a)D(b) = D(a) \cdot b + \tau(a) \cdot D(b)$. Therefore D is a derivation, and so, by amenability of $\mathcal{A}$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$ such that $D(a) = f \cdot a - a \cdot f = f\sigma(a) - \tau(a)f$. $\square$

An interesting question is whether we can have a similar result but in contrary to Proposition 3.1.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non amenable Banach algebra, let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{A}$ be its unitization and $\sigma : \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be defined by $\sigma(z \oplus a) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is not amenable (see [13]) but is σ -amenable. To prove the σ -amenability of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach space and $D : \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a σ -derivation. Define $d : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ by $d(z) = D(z \oplus 0)$. Then d is an ordinary derivation on $\mathbb{C}$, which is 0. Thus $D(z \oplus a) = D(z \oplus 0) + D(0 \oplus a) = d(z) + D(0 \oplus a) = D(0 \oplus a)$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(zw \oplus zb + wa + ab) &= D((z \oplus a)(w \oplus b)) \\ &= D(z \oplus a)\sigma(w \oplus b) + \sigma(z \oplus a)D(w \oplus b) \\ &= D(0 \oplus a)w + zD(0 \oplus b). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $z = w = 0$ and $b = 1$ we get $D(0 \oplus a) = 0$. Thus $D(z \oplus a) = D(0 \oplus a) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

4. CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN (σ, τ) -DERIVATIONS AND DERIVATIONS ON C^* -ALGEBRAS

In this section we fix a C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ and a Banach $(\tau(\mathfrak{A}), \sigma(\mathfrak{A}))$ -bimodule $\mathcal{X}$ and give a correspondence between (σ, τ) -derivations on $\mathfrak{A}$ and ordinary derivations on a certain algebra related to $\mathfrak{A}$. To state the result, we give some terminology.

Let $\sigma, \tau : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be two $*$ -homomorphisms. The mapping $\psi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{A}$ defined by $\psi(a) = (\sigma(a), \tau(a))$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, where $\oplus$ denotes the C^* -direct sum. Hence $\mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \tau)} := \psi(\mathfrak{A}) = \{(\sigma(a), \tau(a)) : a \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ is a C^* -algebra which is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}/\ker(\sigma) \cap \ker(\tau)$. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach $(\tau(\mathfrak{A}), \sigma(\mathfrak{A}))$ -bimodule, then $\mathcal{X}$ can be regarded as an $\mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \tau)}$ -bimodule via the operations

$$x \cdot (\sigma(a), \tau(a)) = x \cdot \sigma(a), \quad (\sigma(a), \tau(a)) \cdot x = \tau(a) \cdot x \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathcal{X}).$$

Then to each linear mapping $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ there corresponds a linear mapping $d : \mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \tau)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ by $d(\sigma(a), \tau(a)) = D(a)$ in such a way that D is a (σ, τ) -derivation if and only if d is an ordinary derivation. Now we are in the situation to present the results of this section.

Proposition 4.1. *Each (σ, τ) -derivation $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is (σ, τ) -inner if and only each derivation $d : \mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \tau)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is inner.*

Proof. Let each (σ, τ) -derivation from $\mathfrak{A}$ to $\mathcal{X}$ be (σ, τ) -inner. Suppose that $d : \mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \tau)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a derivation. Then as mentioned above $D(a) = d(\sigma(a), \tau(a))$ is a derivation from $\mathfrak{A}$ to $\mathcal{X}$. Hence there exists an $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $D(a) = x \cdot \sigma(a) - \tau(a) \cdot x$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. This implies that

$$d(\sigma(a), \tau(a)) = D(a) = x \cdot (\sigma(a), \tau(a)) - (\sigma(a), \tau(a)) \cdot x$$

for all $(\sigma(a), \tau(a)) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \tau)}$. Therefore d is inner.

Conversely, let each derivation from $\mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \tau)}$ to $\mathcal{X}$ be inner. Suppose that $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a (σ, τ) -derivation. Then again as above $d(\sigma(a), \tau(a)) = D(a)$ is a derivation from $\mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \tau)}$ to $\mathcal{X}$. So there is an $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $d(u) = x \cdot u - u \cdot x$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \tau)}$, or equivalently $D(a) = d(\sigma(a), \tau(a)) = x \cdot \sigma(a) - \tau(a) \cdot x$. Thus D is (σ, τ) -inner. $\square$

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C^* -algebra, $\sigma : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be a $*$ -homomorphism and $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach $\sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ -bimodule. Then each σ -derivation $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is σ -inner if and only each derivation $d : \sigma(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is inner.*

Proof. Use the fact that $\mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \sigma)} = \mathfrak{A} / \ker(\sigma) = \sigma(\mathfrak{A})$. $\square$

Under some restrictions on σ and τ we mention our last result concerning (σ, τ) -amenability of $\mathfrak{A}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C^* -algebra and let $\sigma, \tau : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be two $*$ -homomorphisms with $\ker(\sigma) = \ker(\tau)$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is (σ, τ) -amenable ((σ, τ) -contractible, resp.) if and only if $\sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ is amenable (contractible, resp.).*

Proof. Since $\ker(\sigma) = \ker(\tau)$ the relations

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot \sigma(a) &= x \cdot (\sigma(a), \tau(a)), \\ \tau(a) \cdot x &= (\sigma(a), \tau(a)) \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

ensure us to say that $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach $(\tau(\mathfrak{A}), \sigma(\mathfrak{A}))$ -bimodule if and only if it is a $\mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \tau)}$ -bimodule. Thus the correspondence between (σ, τ) -derivations on $\mathfrak{A}$ and derivations on $\mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \tau)}$ helps us to complete the proof. Note that in this case $\mathfrak{A}_{(\sigma, \tau)}$ is

$$\mathfrak{A} / \ker(\sigma) \cap \ker(\tau) = \mathfrak{A} / \ker(\sigma) = \sigma(\mathfrak{A}).$$

□

The following corollary includes a converse to Proposition 3.1 in the framework of C^* -algebras. The general case where $\mathcal{A}$ is an arbitrary Banach algebra remains open.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C^* -algebra and let $\sigma : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be a $*$ -homomorphism. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is σ -amenable (σ -contractible, resp.) if and only if $\sigma(\mathfrak{A})$ is amenable (contractible, resp.).*

Remark 4.5. If σ is not homomorphism, then the above result is not true in general. To see this, let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an amenable C^* -algebra and $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}id_{\mathfrak{A}}$, where $id_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the identity mapping on $\mathfrak{A}$. Note that $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{A}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a symmetric $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule and define $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ by $D(a) = f \cdot a$, where f is a fixed element of $\mathcal{X}^*$. Then D is a σ -derivation, since

$$D(ab) = f \cdot ab = (f \cdot a) \cdot \frac{b}{2} + \frac{a}{2} \cdot (f \cdot b) = D(a) \cdot \sigma(b) + \sigma(a) \cdot D(b).$$

But D is not inner. In contrary, suppose that $D(a) = g \cdot \sigma(a) - \sigma(a) \cdot g$ for some fixed element g of $\mathcal{X}^*$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is symmetric, we have $D = 0$ which contradicts to the definition of D . Thus $\mathfrak{A}$ is not σ -amenable.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for some useful comments improving the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Baak and M.S. Moslehian, *On the stability of θ -derivations on JB^* -triples*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., **38** (2007), no. 1, 115–127.
- [2] M. Brešar, *On the distance of the compositions of two derivations to the generalized derivations*, Glasgow Math. J. **33** (1991), 89–93.
- [3] M. Brešar and A.R. Villena, *The noncommutative Singer-Werner conjecture and ϕ -derivations*, J. London Math. Soc. **66**(2) (2002), no. 3, 710–720.
- [4] H.G. Dales, *Banach algebra and Automatic continuity*, Oxford university Press, 2001.
- [5] S. Hejazian, A.R. Janfada, M. Mirzavaziri and M.S. Moslehian, *Achievement of continuity of (φ, ψ) -derivations without linearity*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.-Simon Stevn., **14** (2007), no. 4, 641–652.
- [6] G. Hochschild, *On the cohmology groups of an associative algebra*, Ann. Of Math. (2) **46** (1945), 58–67.
- [7] B.E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **127**, 1972.
- [8] M. Mirzavaziri and M.S. Moslehian, *Automatic continuity of σ -derivations on C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 11, 3319–3327.

- [9] M. Mirzavaziri and M.S. Moslehian, *σ -amenability of Banach algebras*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., **33** (2009), 89-99.
- [10] M. Mirzavaziri and M.S. Moslehian, *A Kadison-Sakai type theorem*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **79** (2009), 249-257.
- [11] M. Mirzavaziri and M.S. Moslehian, *Ultraweak continuity of σ -derivations on von Neumann algebras*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **12** (2009), no. 2, 109-115.
- [12] T.W. Palmer, *Banach algebras and the general theory of $*$ -algebras, Vol. I. Algebras and Banach algebras*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 49, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [13] V. Runde, *Lectures on Amenability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1774, Springer, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, P. O. Box 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN;

CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN ANALYSIS ON ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES (CEAAS), FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, IRAN.

E-mail address: madjid@mirzavaziri.com and mirzavaziri@math.um.ac.ir

E-mail address: moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir and moslehian@ams.org